

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

plh

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/710,818	08/05/2004	Shiao-Shien Chen	14217-US-PA-X	14217-US-PA-X 4817		
31561	7590 03/06/2006	0 03/06/2006		EXAMINER		
JIANQ CHYUN INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY OFFICE			PHAM, LONG			
7 FLOOR-1, NO. 100 ROOSEVELT ROAD, SECTION 2		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
TAIPEI, 100 TAIWAN			2814			
			DATE MAILED: 03/06/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/710,818	CHEN, SHIAO-SHIEN	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Long Pham	2814	

Before the Filling of all Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Long Pham	2814	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>25 January 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A. <ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:</li> </ol>	the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in committee with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must	Appeal. To avoid abaidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f).  on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing da	g date of the final rejection of the final rejection of the space of the fee. The appropriationally set in the final Office.	on. ILED WITHIN te extension fee ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
<ul> <li>3.  The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>(a)  They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>(b)  They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo</li> <li>(c)  They are not deemed to place the application in beloappeal; and/or</li> <li>(d)  They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).</li> </ul>	nsideration and/or search (see NO w); tter form for appeal by materially re	TE below); ducing or simplifying	
<ul> <li>4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1</li> <li>5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> <li>6.  Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ul>	:		
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		I be entered and an e	explanation of
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered by See attached office action.	it does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	
		Long Pham Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2814	

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Amendment after final or Advisory Action

Status of the amendment after final rejection

There are no amendments after final.

Status of the pending claims after final rejection

See the final rejection dated 11/29/05.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 1/25/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. See below.

In response the applicant's arguments in the second full paragraph on page 3 of the applicant's response dated 01/25/06, it is submitted that Shokouhi et al. do not teach away from "forming a device in a triple P-well located in a deep N-well region that is formed in a P-substrate", Shokouhi et al. simply teach another way of forming the device.

In response the applicant's arguments in the paragraph bridging pages 3 and 4 of the applicant's response dated 01/25/06, it is submitted that the motivation for combing the teachings of Shokouhi et al. into the device of AAPA is to prevent leakage current. Further, it is submitted that since the applicant claims combination, this must be an evidence of success for the combination.

In response the applicant's arguments in the first full paragraph on page 4 of the applicant's response dated 01/25/06, it is submitted that since pending claims Art Unit: 2814

recite "comprising", the claimed invention does not exclude inclusion of other elements or steps that are recited.

#### **Conclusion**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Long Pham whose telephone number is 571-272-1714. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Frid, 10am to 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wael Fahmy can be reached on 571-272-1705. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair.olrect.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Long Pham

P/mary Examiner

Art Unit 2814

Application/Control Number: 10/710,818

Art Unit: 2814

Page 4